# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0104** (RTC-52222) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM426265 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-AT |
| **CI** | NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 Main Document) |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): T-ENR-ENQ-A-002 / T-ENR-ENQ-A-003: Add dispatch of the IE045 message to the Holder of the Transit Procedure** |
| The message IE045 (‘Written-off Notification’) needs to be incorporated to the following scenarios:  T-ENR-ENQ-A-002 / T-ENR-ENQ-A-003 (**Sufficient information–Enquiry with arrival processing resumed**). |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The last steps of the scenario **III.IV.2.1.2 T-ENR-ENQ-A-002-Sufficient information–Enquiry with arrival processing resumed** and the last few steps of the scenario **III.IV.2.1.3 T-ENR-ENQ-A-003-Sufficient information–Enquiry response with “Return Copy”** should also mention the dispatch of the IE045 message to the Holder of the Transit Procedure. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The following update will be performed into the **DDNTA 5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document)** (changes are depicted in red colour):   1. **The scenario *T-ENR-ENQ-A-002-Sufficient information–Enquiry with arrival processing resumed* will be updated as follows:**   **[Step 22]** The Declared Office of Destination (i.e. involved into the transit movement) resumes the normal arrival process by sending the ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006).  **[Step 23]** The timer T\_Wait\_Enquiry\_Response stops.  **[Step 24]** The ‘Cancel Enquiry Notification’ C\_CAN\_ENQ (IE059) is sent to the Competent Authority of Enquiry at Destination to close the pending ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message.  **[Step 25]** Additionally, the Declared Office of Destination (i.e. involved into the transit movement) sends the ‘Destination Control Results’ C\_DES\_CON (IE018) to the Office of Departure. As per scenario, the assumption is that it contains satisfactory control result code (i.e. ‘A1’ or ‘A2’ or ‘A5’).  **[Step 26]** Upon reception of the ‘Destination Control Results’ C\_DES\_CON (IE018) message that contains satisfactory control result code (i.e. ‘A1’ or ‘A2’ or ‘A5’), the movement is written-off and thus the Office of Departure sends the ‘Written-off Notification’ E\_WRT\_NOT (IE045) message to the Holder of the Transit Procedure. The state of the movement at the Office of Departure is set to Movement written-off.  **[Step ~~26~~27]** The timer T\_Recovery\_Recommended stops.  The business process of the transit operation ends here.   1. **The Time Sequence Diagram of the *T-ENR-ENQ-A-002* will be updated accordingly.** 2. **The text of scenario *T-ENR-ENQ-A-003-Sufficient information–Enquiry response with “Return Copy”* will be updated as follows:**   **[Step 21]** Upon reception of the ‘Enquiry Response’ C\_ENQ\_NEG (IE143) message, the timer [T\_Wait\_Enquiry\_Response](#T_Wait_Enquiry_Response) stops.  After the reception of the ‘Enquiry Response’ C\_ENQ\_NEG (IE143) message and the provided evidence by the Holder of the Transit Procedure, the Customs Officer at the Office of Departure can manually write the movement off.  **[Step 22]** The Office of Departure sends the ‘Written-off Notification’ E\_WRT\_NOT (IE045) message to the Holder of the Transit Procedure. The state of the movement at the Office of Departure is set to [Movement written off](#_Office_of_Departure_1).  All the Offices involved in the transit movement (declared and actual), from which the ‘Notification Leaving Security Area’ C\_LSA\_NOT (IE168) and/or the ‘Notification Crossing Frontier’ C\_NCF\_NOT (IE118) message(s) have not been received, are informed by the Office of Departure. The Office of Departure sends the ‘Forward Arrival Advice’ C\_FWD\_ARR (IE024) message:  **[Step ~~22~~23]** To the Office of Exit for Transit:  Upon reception of the ‘Forward Arrival Advice’ C\_FWD\_ARR (IE024) message, the state in the Office of Exit for Transit is set to [Arrived](#_Office_of_Exit);  **[Step ~~23~~24]** To the Office of Transit:  Upon reception of the ‘Forward Arrival Advice’ C\_FWD\_ARR (IE024) message, the state in the Office of Transit is set to [Arrived](#_Office_of_Transit);  **[Step ~~24~~25]** To the Office of Destination:  Upon reception of the ‘Forward Arrival Advice’ C\_FWD\_ARR (IE024) message, the state in the Office of Destination is set to [Arrived](#_Office_of_Destination).  **[Step ~~25~~26]** The timer [T\_Recovery\_Recommended](#T_Recovery_Recommended) stops and the business process of the transit operation ends here.   1. **Additionally, the Time Sequence Diagram of the scenario *T-ENR-ENQ-A-003* will be updated accordingly.**   **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**  **No impact on External Domain.**  This RFC-Proposal is considered as a **purely documentary improvement** of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document) and assumed to have no implementation impact for the NAs.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops): N/A  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT): N/A  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB): January 2022  **Impact on transition P4-P5**: None  **Consequence of not approving the RFC-Proposal**: Possible confusion for Business Analysts / National Helpdesk.  **Risk of not implementing the change**: None.    Impacted IEs:   * None   Impacted CI Artefacts:   * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes;** * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30: No; * DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No; * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, PDFs): No; * CSE-v51.6.0: No; * DMP Package-5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CRP-5.5.0-v1.00: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00, Main Document** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in Section 3 - Proposed Solution** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Likely no impact** (maybe an update of the translated DDNTA Main Document?)**.** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | | |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 24/11/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | SfR to NPMs | 26/11/2021 |  |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | *SfA to NPM* |